

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,875	12/22/1999	MANPREET S. KHAIRA	2207/6843	6722	
7:	590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			CRAIG, DWIN M		
ONE BROAD NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2123		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

, 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/470,875	KHAIRA ET AL.	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dwin M Craig	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app : (1) a timely filed amendment w peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	dication. A proper re Thich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of ned statutory period for reply originally set	the fee. The appropriate ex in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		-	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or searcl	n (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number o	of finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely file	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLEI	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-55</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disapproved t	by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	

10. Other: ____

DMC



Ó

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments change the original structure of the claims, specifically removing the limitation, "wherein exchanged messages are gathered together into a global signal vector" in independent claim 1 and moving that limitation into a dependent claim, changes the scope of the original claim language, and would require reconsideration of the Examiner's claim rejections.

ENN J. TESKA SUPERITE XAMINER